IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR

TUESDAY, THE 12TH JUNE 2007 / 22ND JYAISHTA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 403 of 2007(S)

(IN PURSUANCE TO THE JUDGEMENT DTD. 25/08/2006 IN W.P.(C).22214/2006)

.....

PETITIONER/PETITIONER:

N.UNNIKRISHNAN NAIR, AGED 49 YEARS,
S/O.LATE N.NARAYANAN NAIR, JUNIOR TELECOM OFFICER
(CIVIL) PRESENTLY OFFICIATING AS SUB DIVISIONAL
ENGINEER (P&D), O/O.THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
TELECOM CIVIL DIVISION NO.2, BSNL, TRIVANDRUM-11.

BY ADV. SRI.G.D.PANICKER.

RESPONDENT/2ND RESPONDENT IN W.P.(C):

SRI.NIRANJAN SINGH,
(S/O. AND AGED NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER)
DIRECTOR (HRD), BSNL, STATESMAN HOUSE-148,
BHARAKKABAR ROAD, NEW DELHI.

BY ADV. SRI.P.M.M.NAJEEB KHAN, SC, BSNL.

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 12/06/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, J.

CONTEMPT CASE (CIVIL) No.403 of 2007-S

Dated this the 12th day of June, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

Heard. Even though, there is technical violation of the direction of this Court regarding opportunity of hearing to be afforded to the petitioner, I think it is not expedient or necessary to proceed further with the contempt proceedings. As per the direction of this Court, Ext.R3 order has already been passed. It is common case that the petitioner has already filed a writ petition challenging that order. in view of the above position, the Contempt Case (Civil) is closed. ..... can also be raised in the writ petition, if so advised.

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR,
JUDGE.

MS